RECURSIVE HARMONIC ANALYSIS FOR COMPUTATIONAL OF HANSEN COEFFICIENTS

Mohamed Adel Sharaf¹ and Hadia Hassan Selim² *

- ¹ Astronomy Department, Faculty of Science, King Abdul Aziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
- ² Astronomy Department, National Research Institute of Astronomy and Geophysics, Helwan, Egypt

Abstract This paper reports on a simple pure numerical method developed for computing Hansen coefficients by using recursive harmonic analysis technique. The precision criteria of the computations are very satisfactory and provide materials for computing Hansen's and Hansen's like expansions, also to check the accuracy of some existing algorithms.

Key words: techniques: harmonic analysis — hansen coefficients: numerical methods

1 INTRODUCTION

Hansen coefficient (Cefola 1977) is an important class of functions which frequently occur in many branches of Celestial Mechanics such as planetary theory (Newcomb 1895) and artificial satellite motion (Allan 1967; Hughes 1977). Moreover, there are extensive forms of Hansen like expansions (Klioner et. al. 1998; Sharaf 1985, 1986) which play important roles in the expansion theories of elliptic motion. Giacalia (1976) noted that Hansen's coefficients appears in satellite theory in expression of the disturbing function due to the primary and due to the presence of a third body and they are usually called Eccentricity Functions. He derived recurrence relation for these functions and their derivatives, as they appear in the evaluation of geopotential and third body perturbations of an artificial satellite. Also in 1987, he proved Hansen's coefficients for Fourier series in terms of the mean anomaly correspond to a rotation of the orbital plane proportional to the eccentricity of the orbit. They are given in terms of Bessel functions and generalized associated Legendre functions which arise through the transformation of spherical harmonics under rotation. In 1981, Hughes computed tables of analytical expressions for the Hansen coefficients $X_o^{n,\pm m}(e)$ and $X_o^{-(n+1),\pm m}(e)$ when $1 \le n \le 30$ and $0 \le m \le n$. In 1990, Branham derived a recursive calculation of Hansen coefficients which are used in expansions of elliptic motion by three methods: Tisserand's method, Von Zeipel-Andoyer method with explicit representation of the polynomials required to compute the Hansen coefficients and von Zeipel-Andover method with the value of the polynomials calculated recursively. Vakhidov (2000) studied in detail efficient approximations of Hansen coefficients using polynomials in terms of the eccentricity. He and Zhang (1990) used Hansen coefficients to compute general perturbations of the asteroids of Flora group due to Jupiter. Breiter et.al (2004) show that most of the theory of Hansen coefficients remains valid for $X_k^{\gamma j}$, when γ is a real number, also, the generalized coefficients can be applied in a variety of perturbed problems that involve some drag effects. Sadov (2008) deals analytically with the properties of Hansen's coefficients in the theory of elliptic motion considered as functions of the parameter $\eta = \sqrt{1-e^2}$ where e is the eccentricity.

In the present paper, we develop a simple pure numerical method for computing Hansen coefficients by

using recursive harmonic analysis technique. The precision criteria of the computations are very satisfactory. The importance of the method is that it not only provides materials for computing Hansen's and also Hansen's like expansions but also, it can be used due to its simplicity and accuracy, to check the accuracy of the different algorithms already existing.

2 BASIC FORMULATIONS

2.1 Properties of Least -Squares

Let y be represented by the general linear expression of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{L} c_i \phi(x)$ where $\phi's$ are linear independent functions of x. Let \mathbf{c} be the vector of the exact values of the c's coefficients and $\widehat{\mathbf{c}}$ be the least -squares estimators of \mathbf{c} obtained from the solution of the normal equations $\mathbf{G}\widehat{\mathbf{c}} = \mathbf{b}$. The coefficient matrix $\mathbf{G}(L \times L)$ is symmetric positive definite, that is, all its eigenvalues V_i ; i = 1, 2, ..., L are positive. Let $\mathbf{E}(z)$ denote the expectation of z and σ^2 the variance of the fit, defined as

$$\sigma^2 = q_n / (N - L) \tag{1}$$

where

$$q_n = (\mathbf{y} - \Phi^T \widehat{\mathbf{c}})^T (\mathbf{y} - \Phi^T \widehat{\mathbf{c}})$$
 (2)

N is the number of observations, \mathbf{y} is a vector with elements y_k and $\Phi(L \times N)$ has elements $\varphi_{ik} = \varphi_i(x_k)$. The transpose of a vector or a matrix is indicated by the superscript T'. According to the least-squares criterion, it could be shown that (Sharaf et.al. 2000)

- The estimators $\hat{\mathbf{c}}$ given by the least-squares method give the minimum of q_n .
- The estimators $\hat{\mathbf{c}}$ of the coefficients \mathbf{c} , obtained by the method of least-squares, are unbiased; $i.e.EC(\hat{\mathbf{c}}) = \mathbf{c}$
- The variance-covariance matrix $Var(\hat{\mathbf{c}})$ of the unbiased estimators $\hat{\mathbf{c}}$ is given by

$$Var(\widehat{\mathbf{c}}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{G}^{-1},\tag{3}$$

where \mathbf{G}^{-1} is the inverse of \mathbf{G} .

– The average squared distance between \mathbf{c} and $\widehat{\mathbf{c}}$ is

$$EC(D^2) = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^{L} \frac{1}{V_i}.$$
(4)

2.2 Harmonic Analysis of a Periodic Function

Let it be required to find a sum

$$a_o + \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_j \cos jx + \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_j \sin jx$$
 (5)

which furnishes the best possible representation of a function u(x), when we are given that u(x) takes the values $u_o, u_1, ..., u_{i-1}$ when x takes $x_o, x_1, ..., x_{i-1}$ respectively, m being some number greater than 2s. The problem is to determine the (2s+1) constants, a_o, a_j and $b_j; j=1,2,...,s$ so as to make the expression (5) takes, as nearly as possible, the l values $u_o, u_1, ..., u_{i-1}$ when x takes the values $x_o, x_1, ..., x_{i-1}$. To do so we shall make use of the method of least squares and we get

$$\frac{1}{2}a_{o}\eta_{oi} + \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{j}\eta_{ij} + \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_{j}\beta_{ij} = d_{i}, i = 0, 1, ..., s;$$

$$\frac{1}{2}a_{o}\beta_{oq} + \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{j}\beta_{qj} + \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_{j}\gamma_{qj} = c_{q}, q = 1, 2, ..., s;$$
(6)

where

$$\eta_{ij} = \eta_{ji} = \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \cos ix_k, i = 0, 1, ..., s, j = 0, 1, ...s;
\beta_{qj} = \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \cos jx_k \sin qx_k, j = 0, 1, ..., s, q = 1, 2, ..., s;
\gamma_{qj} = \gamma_{jq} = \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \sin qx_k \sin jx_k, q = 1, 2, ..., s, j = 1, 2, ..., s;
d_i = \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} u_k \cos ix_k, i = 0, 1, ..., s;
c_q = \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} u_k \sin qx_k, q = 1, 2, ..., s.$$
(7)

Equations (7) are the normal equations. These equations represent a set of linear equations in (2s +1) unknowns a's and b's coefficients and could be solved by any of the methods adopted for linear systems. However, the coefficient matrix of this set could be reduced to a diagonal one by certain choice of the arguments x_k and in this case the a's and b's are determined exactly and the problem is known as harmonic analysis.

In the method of harmonic analysis, the arguments x_k take the special values;

$$0, \frac{2\pi}{l}, 2, \frac{2\pi}{l}, 3, \frac{2\pi}{l}, ..., (l-1), \frac{2\pi}{l}.$$
 (8)

For these values, the $\eta's, \beta's$ and $\gamma's$ of Equations (7) become:

For $i=j\neq 0: \eta_{ij}=\gamma_{ji}=\frac{1}{2}l; \beta_{ij}=0.$ For $i\neq j: \eta_{ij}=\gamma_{ij}=\beta_{ij}=0$ Consequently the a's and b's coefficients could then be computed exactly from

$$a_{j} = \frac{\mu}{l} \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} u_{k} \cos j \cdot \frac{2\pi}{l} k, j = 0, 1, ..., s;$$

$$b_{q} = \frac{2}{l} \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} u_{k} \sin q \cdot \frac{2\pi}{l} k, q = 1, 2, ..., s.$$
(9)

where $\mu = 1$ if j = 0; $\mu = 2$ if j > 0.

2.3 Hansen Coefficients

Consider elliptic motion expansions of $(r/a)^n \cos mv$ and $(r/a)^n \sin mv$ in terms of the mean anomaly M that is,

$$\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos mv = \sum_{k=0} A_k^{n,m} \cos kM;$$

$$\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \sin mv = \sum_{k=1} B_k^{n,m} \sin kM.$$
(10)

where a is the semi major -axis, r the radial distance, n is a positive or negative integer, while m is positive integer and v the true anomaly in elliptic motion. The A's and B's coefficients called Hansen's coefficients, are functions of the eccentricity e.

The relations between the eccentric anomaly E and the anomalies M, v are given for elliptic motion as follows:

- The relation between E and M is well know Kepler's equation

$$M = E - e\sin E. \tag{11}$$

- The fundamental relations between v and E in an elliptic orbit are

$$\tan\frac{v}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{1+e}{1-e}}\tan\frac{E}{2} \tag{12}$$

These equations are the most useful relations between v(E) and E(v), since $\frac{v}{2}$ and $\frac{E}{2}$ are always in the same quadrant. There is a possibility of numerical trouble when Equation (12) is used with angles that are near $\pm \frac{\pi}{2}$ as the two tangents become infinite. In order to avoid this difficulty, Broucke and Cefola 1973 established the formula

$$\tan\frac{1}{2}(v-E) = \frac{\beta\sin E}{1-\beta\cos E},\tag{13}$$

where

$$\beta = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - e^2}}{e} = \frac{e}{1 + \sqrt{1 - e^2}} \tag{14}$$

Equation (13) is free of numerical trouble, no matter what the values of the angles are. Moreover, it can be easily used because the angle (v-E)/2 is always less than $\frac{\pi}{2}$ for all elliptic orbits.

- Finally the relation between r and E is

$$\left(\frac{r}{a}\right) = 1 - e\cos E\tag{15}$$

3 COMPUTATIONAL DEVELOPMENTS

3.1 Practical Computations of the a's and b's Coefficients

The a's and b's coefficients of Equations (9) could be computed efficiently (Ralston & Rabinowitz1978)from

$$a_j = \frac{\mu}{l} \{ u_o + F_{1,j} \cos \frac{2\pi}{l} j - F_{2,j} \}; j = 0, 1, ..., s,$$
(16)

$$b_q = \frac{2}{l} F_{1,q} \sin \frac{2\pi}{l} q; q = 1, 2, ..., s.$$
 (17)

where, for any j the F's are computed recursively from

$$F_{k,j} = u_k + 2\cos x_j F_{k+1,j} - F_{k+2,j} \tag{18}$$

by using the initial conditions $F_{i,j} = F_{i+1,j} = 0$, starting with k = l - 1 to compute successively $F_{i-1,j}, F_{i-2,j}, ..., F_{1,j}$.

3.2 Error Estimates

- The variance of the fit (Equation (1)) is given by

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{\delta^2}{l - L} \tag{19}$$

where the sum of the squares of the residuals δ^2 is given as (Ralston & Rabinowitz1978)

$$\delta^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{i-1} u_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} [2a_o^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{s} (a_j^2 + b_j^2)]$$
 (20)

Clearly both σ and δ depend on the number s of the a's and b's coefficients. If the precision is measured by probable error PE, then

$$PE = 0.6745\sigma \tag{21}$$

– Since the coefficient matrix G of the harmonic analysis is diagonal with elements of the same value l/2, then according to Equation (3) the standard error of each of the a's and b's coefficients is

$$\sigma_{coeff} = \sigma \sqrt{\frac{2}{l}} \tag{22}$$

The corresponding probable error for each coefficient is

$$PE_{coeff} = 0.6745\sigma_{coeff} \tag{23}$$

- The average squared distance between the exact and the least -squares values (Equation (9)) is given according to Equation (4) as

$$Q = CE(D^2) = \frac{2s}{l}\sigma^2 \tag{24}$$

3.3 Choosing the Number of the Coefficients

In practice ,since we do not know s , we would evaluate a's and b's coefficients for s=1,2, , then compute δ^2 (Equation(19)), and continue as long as δ^2 decreases significantly(within a given tolerance Tol) with increasing s.

3.4 The Special Values

The special values of the left hand sides of Equation (10) are computed as follows:

1.
$$M_i = \frac{2\pi i}{l}$$
; $i = 0, 1, ..., l - 1$.

2. For each M solve Kepler's equation (Equation (11)) by Newton-Raphson iterative method (or any other method). Let E_o be an initial approximation of E; define for k = 0, 1, 2, ...

$$E_{k+1} = E_k - \frac{E_k - e \sin E_k - M}{1 - e \sin E_k}.$$

Each E_{k+1} should approximate E more closely than E_k . For the initial approximation E_o use the value (Battin1999)

$$E_o = M + \frac{e \sin M}{1 - \sin(M + e) + \sin M}.$$

The above procedure is terminated if the following conditions are satisfied
$$\varepsilon_2 \leq \varepsilon_1$$
 and $\mid H(E_{i+1}) \mid \leq 100\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 = \mid \frac{E_{i+1}-E_i}{E_{i+1}} \mid \text{if} \mid E_{i+1} \mid > 1; \varepsilon_2 = \mid E_{i+1}-E_i \mid \text{if} \mid E_{i+1} \mid < 1$, where ε_1 is a given tolerance and $H(E) = M - E - e \sin E$.

- 3. For each E compute v using Equation (14) and $(\frac{r}{a})^n$ from Equation (10)
- 4. For each v compute $\cos(mv)$.
- 5. Finally, find the product of the values of $(\frac{r}{a})^n$ (of step 3) and $\cos(mv)$ (of step 4).

3.5 Numerical Results

The above computational developments are applied for calculating Hansen's coefficients of Equation (10) with input constants as $l = 100, Tol = 10^{-6}$ and $\varepsilon_1 = 10^{-8}$. The numerical results are listed in Tables I to Table VI for different values of n, m and different eccentricities of some members of the solar system. In these tables $\delta_A^2(\delta_B^2)$ represents the sum of the squares of the residuals of Equation (19) for A's(B's) coefficients, $\sigma_{coeff,A}(\sigma_{coeff,B})$ represents the common standard error of Equation (21) for A's(B's) coefficients, finally $Q_A(Q_B)$ represents the average squared distance between the exact and least- squares values of Equation (23) for A's(B's) coefficients.

4 CONCLUSION

In concluding the present paper, pure numerical method is developed for computing Hansen coefficients by using recursive harmonic analysis technique. The precision criteria which are: the variance of the fit, the standard errors of the coefficients and the average squared distance between the exact and least squares values, are all very satisfactory. The method is not only provide materials for computing Hansen's and also Hansen's like expansions but also can be used to check the accuracy of the different algorithms that already exist.

References

Allan, R.R., 1967, Planetary Spac Sci. 15, 53-76.

Battin. R.H., 1999, Revised Edition, AIAA, Education Series, Reston, Virginia.

Branham, Jr. R.L., 1990, CeMec. 49, 209-217.

Breiter, S., Metris, G. & Vokrouhlicky, D., 2004, CeMec. 88, 153-161.

Broucke, R. & Cefola, P.J, 1973, CeMec. 7, 388-389.

Cefola, P. J, 1977, Charles Stark Draper Laboratory Report.

Giacaglia, G.E. O,1976, CeMec.14, 515-523.

Giacaglia, G. E. O. 1987, Publ. Astron. Soc. Japan. 39, 171-78.

Hughes, S., 1977, Planetary Space Sci. 25,809-815.

Hughes, S.,1981, CeMec. 29,101-107.

Table I: Hansen Coefficients for The Planet Earth : e = .016708617, n = -3, m = 6

\overline{k}	A_k	B_k
0	-2.80505×10^{-16}	
1	-1.69927×10^{-10}	-1.69927×10^{-10}
2	1.31491×10^{-7}	1.31491×10^{-7}
3	-0.0000259261	-0.0000259261
4	0.00209013	0.00209013
5	-0.0749101	-0.0749101
6	0.99039	0.99039
7	0.124591	0.124591
8	0.00917108	0.00917108
9	0.000516607	0.000516607
10	0.0000246565	0.0000246565
11	1.05004×10^{-6}	1.05004×10^{-6}
$d_A^2 = 8.52652 \times 10^{-14}$		$d_B^2 = 6.39488 \times 10^{-14}$
$s_{\infty ee.A} = 4.37729 \times 10^{-9}$		$s_{\infty ee.B} = 3.79085 \times 10^{-9}$
$Q_A = 2.10768 \times 10^{-16}$		$Q_B = 1.8076 \times 10^{-16}$

Table II: Hansen Coefficients for The Planet Pluto : e = 0.249050, n = -3, m = 6

\overline{k}	A_k	B_k
0	0.0508079	
1	-0.325005	-0.319177
2	0.969155	0.969203
3	-1.34716	-1.34716
4	0.536896	0.536896
5	0.248699	0.248699
6	0.0765758	0.0765758
7	0.0211903	0.0211903
8	0.0056458	0.0056458
9	0.00148234	0.00148234
10	0.000386931	0.000386931
11	0.000100722	0.000100722
12	0.0000261571	0.0000261571
13	6.76933×10^{-6}	6.76933×10^{-6}
$d_A^2 = 1.62174 \times 10^{-10}$		$d_B^2 = 1.6226 \times 10^{-10}$
$s_{\infty ee.A} = 1.93084 \times 10^{-7}$		$s_{\infty ee.B} = 1.93135 \times 10^{-7}$
$Q_A = 4.84659 \times 10^{-13}$		$Q_B = 4.84914 \times 10^{-13}$

Klioner, S. A; Vakhidov, A. A.&Vasiliev, N, N., 1998, CeMec. 68, 257-272.

Miao-fu He & Jie Zhang, 1990, Chinese astro. & astro. 14, 3, 306-316

Newcomb, S., 1895, Astron. Papers of the American Ephemeris 5,1-48.

Ralston, R.&Rabinoitz, P.,1978, A First Course in Numerical Analysis, McGraw-Hill Kogakusha, Ltd. Tokyo, Japan.

Sadov, S. Y., 2008, CeMec. 100, 287-300.

Sharaf, M. A.,1985, Astrophy.&Space Sci. 116, 251-283.

Sharaf, M. A., 1986, Astrophy. & Space Sci., 125, 259-298.

Sharaf, M. A., Bassuny, A. A.&Korany, B. A., 2000, Astrophy. Letter & Communications. 40, 39-61.

Vakhidov, A. A., 2000, Computer Physics Communications. 124, 1,40-48.

This paper was prepared with the RAA LATEX macro v1.2.

Table III: Hansen Coefficients for the Asteroid Ceres: $e=0.078, n=8, m=2 \label{eq:equation}$

k	A_k	B_k
0	0.0854431	
1	-0.492936	-0.479094
2	1.08609	1.08564
3	-0.157994	-0.157993
4	0.00140598	0.00140603
5	0.000192711	0.000192714
6	0.0000113508	0.0000113509
7	6.01265×10^{-7}	6.01269×10^{-7}
$d_A^2 = 4.26326 \times 10^{-14}$		$d_B^2 = 4.26326 \times 10^{-14}$
$s_{\infty ee.A} = 3.02792 \times 10^{-9}$		$s_{\infty ee.B} = 3.02792 \times 10^{-9}$
$Q_A = 6.41781 \times 10^{-17}$		$Q_B = 6.41781 \times 10^{-17}$

Table IV: Hansen Coefficients for the Asteroid Sekhmet : $e=0.296, n=-1, m=5\,$

k	A_k	B_k
0	-0.0000795273	
1	0.00983893	0.00983416
2	-0.114213	-0.114214
3	0.431088	0.431088
4	-0.482649	-0.482649
5	-0.260258	-0.260258
6	0.191795	0.191795
7	0.410314	0.410314
8	0.411965	0.411965
9	0.318733	0.318733
10	0.213837	0.213837
11	0.130854	0.130854
12	0.0750457	0.0750457
13	0.0410069	0.0410069
14	0.021582	0.021582
15	0.0110231	0.0110231
16	0.00549385	0.00549385
17	0.00268279	0.00268279
18	0.00128767	0.00128767
19	0.000608982	0.000608982
20	0.000284349	0.000284349
21	0.000131294	0.000131294
22	0.0000600289	0.0000600289
23	0.0000272069	0.0000272069
24	0.0000122351	0.0000122351
25	5.46368×10^{-6}	5.46368×10^{-6}
$d_A^2 = 3.64729 \times 10^{-10}$		$d_B^2 = 3.64665 \times 10^{-10}$
$s_{\infty ee.A} = 3.11867 \times 10^{-7}$		$s_{\infty ee.B} = 3.1184 \times 10^{-7}$
$Q_A = 2.43152 \times 10^{-12}$		$Q_B = 2.4311 \times 10^{-12}$

Table V: Hansen Coefficients for The Comet Wild2 : $e = 0.541, n = 3, m = 2 \label{eq:coefficients}$

k	A_k	B_k
0	-0.187235	
1	0.954443	0.943797
2	-1.75935	-1.75982
3	1.04451	1.04448
4	0.271626	0.271625
5	-0.101094	-0.101092
6	-0.158792	-0.158791
7	-0.112669	-0.112669
8	-0.05443	-0.0544298
9	-0.0112087	-0.0112086
10	0.0142725	0.0142726
11	0.026263	0.026263
12	0.0296931	0.0296931
13	0.0283862	0.0283862
14	0.0248699	0.0248699
15	0.0206484	0.0206484
16	0.0165297	0.0165297
17	0.0128893	0.0128893
18	0.00985376	0.00985376
19	0.00741822	0.00741822
20	0.005551671	0.005551671
21	0.00406198	0.00406198
22	0.00296636	0.00296636
23	0.00215139	0.00215139
24	0.00215130 0.00155123	0.00155123
25	0.00133123	0.00111291
26	0.000795003	0.000795003
27	0.000565771	0.000565771
28	0.000303111	0.000401309
29	0.000401303	0.000481883
30	0.000200214	0.000200214
31	0.000200211	0.000140908
32	0.000140300	0.000140300
33	0.0000989044 0.0000693761	0.0000989044
34	0.000048552	0.000093701 0.000048552
35	0.000048332 0.0000339265	0.000048332
36	0.0000339203	0.0000339203
37 38	0.0000164982	0.0000164982
	0.0000114842	0.0000114842
39	7.9854×10^{-6}	7.9854×10^{-6}
$d_A^2 = 1.18559 \times 10^{-8}$		$d_B^2 = 1.18562 \times 10^{-8}$
$s_{\infty ee.A} = 4.05228 \times 10^{-7}$		$s_{\infty ee.B} = 4.05232 \times 10^{-7}$
$Q_A = 6.40418 \times 10^{-12}$		$Q_B = 6.4043 \times 10^{-12}$

Table VI: Hansen Coefficients for the Comet Lexell : $e = 0.786, n = 8, m = 4 \label{eq:equation}$

k	A_k	B_k
0	28.4068	
1	-47.0631	-25.693
2	23.9162	21.1464
3	-4.70405	-4.84203
2 3 4 5	-0.605464	-0.619241
5	-0.0262285	-0.0283248
6	0.0293643	0.0289445
7	0.0217703	0.0216686
8	0.0121887	0.0121605
9	0.00637396	0.00636531
10	0.00325957	0.00325672
11	0.00164622	0.00164524
12	0.000817397	0.000817052
13	0.000392683	0.000392564
14	0.000176143	0.000176105
15	0.0000672271	0.0000672181
16	0.0000140575	0.0000140581
17	-0.0000103329	-0.0000103297
18	-0.0000200483	-0.000020045
19	-0.0000224845	-0.0000224818
20	-0.0000215081	-0.0000215061
21	-0.0000191168	-0.0000191154
22	-0.0000163167	-0.0000163158
23	-0.0000135893	-0.0000135887
24	-0.0000111418	-0.0000111414
25	-9.0409×10^{-6}	-9.04071×10^{-6}
$d_A^2 = 7.42148 \times 10^{-9}$		$d_B^2 = 7.33417 \times 10^{-9}$
$s_{\infty ee.A} = 1.40679 \times 10^{-6}$		$s_{\infty ee.B} = 1.39849 \times 10^{-6}$
$Q_A = 4.94765 \times 10^{-11}$		$Q_B = 4.88944 \times 10^{-11}$